## **REDACTED VERSION**

From: Turner, Philip
To: Enders, Jhana
Subject: RE: Amarillo site

**Date:** Monday, January 9, 2017 3:08:00 PM

I guess. As per your suggestion, I'll wait to see who TCEQ gives you as a contact, but I can go ahead and try to talk to Heidi Bojes. Do you have a number for her?

```
----Original Message-----
From: Enders, Jhana
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2017 2:51 PM
To: Turner, Philip < Turner. Philip@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Amarillo site
So are you just going to wait for TCEQ contact?
Sent from my iPad
> On Jan 9, 2017, at 2:47 PM, Turner, Philip < Turner. Philip@epa.gov> wrote:
> I guess my title would be "Risk Assessor"
> No, I do not know Heidi Bojes.
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Enders, Jhana
> Sent: Monday, January 09, 2017 2:19 PM
> To: Turner, Philip < Turner. Philip@epa.gov>
> Subject: Re: Amarillo site
> Phil, what's your title? Do you by any chance know Heidi Bojes with the state. Thanks
> Sent from my iPad
>> On Jan 9, 2017, at 1:04 PM, Turner, Philip < Turner. Philip@epa.gov> wrote:
>>
>> Hi Jhana,
>> I just tried to return your call. I'm not an analytical chemist, but the OSHA 1003 method does appear better and
seems like it would get better detection limits. The detection limit of 45 ppb is low enough to cover acute exposure
levels we might be looking at, BUT it is not low enough if we need to look at the chronic screening level... which is
0.31 ug/m3.
>> Give me a buzz if you need...
>> You have my office number, but my cell is (6)
>> Phil
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
```

```
>> From: Enders, Jhana
```

- >> Sent: Sunday, January 08, 2017 7:18 PM
- >> To: Turner, Philip < Turner. Philip@epa.gov>
- >> Subject: Amarillo site

>>

>> Will be in meetings tomorrow but please let me know how it is going and if I need to provide any further info. If all goes well with monitoring the next couple of days, may do final sampling Wednesday.

>>

- >> Thanks
- >> Jhana Enders
- >> 214-789-9654

>>

>> Sent from my iPad